

Application No. : 10/662,696  
Amdt. Dated : October 10, 2006  
Reply To F.O.A. Of : July 7, 2006

RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER  
OCT 10 2006

### Summary Of Interview

#### Proposed Amendments

Cancel Claim 9 in after-final amendment.

Add "treating the patient according to the treatment recommendation" to the independent claims in after-final amendment.

#### Principal Arguments and Other Matters

Section 101 does not absolutely require pre and/or post computer processing activity. Rather, the present claims define a statutory useful, concrete, tangible result. For example, the claimed surgery recommendations of stent placement and/or stent number to reduce elevated intraocular pressure in a patient are clearly practical applications of a mathematical eye model to particular patient data to produce the treatment recommendation, which is a statutory useful, concrete, tangible result.

#### Results of Interview

Agreement was not reached with respect to the principal arguments, although the Examiner indicated a likelihood of consideration of after-final amended claims according to the Examiner's suggestions coupled with cancellation of Claim 9. Further, the Examiner indicated a likelihood of consideration of after-final amended claims that substitute "providing" steps with acquisition of a treatment recommendation coupled with treatment according to the treatment recommendation